

Student Oral Presentations Judge's Score Sheet and Evaluation

145th Annual Meeting of the American Fisheries Society, Kansas City, MO

TITLE:

NAME:

Subject Matter and Scientific Merit

1 = Poor

3 = Satisfactory

5 = Excellent

Introduction/ Background (set context for study)	1	2	3	4	5
Project Objectives (clearly defined)	1	2	3	4	5
Methods/ Approach (adequate to address objectives)	1	2	3	4	5
Explanation of analysis (adequate description of what was completed and how)	1	2	3	4	5
Results adequately summarized (tied back to project objectives, tables/figures explained in adequate detail)	1	2	3	4	5
Conclusions (results applied to objectives, take home message)	1	2	3	4	5
Originality (novel methods, new approach)	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance (addresses important research problem, management issue)	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific/ Management Merit (valuable results and convincing interpretation)	1	2	3	4	5
Thoroughly but succinctly answers audience questions	1	2	3	4	5
Total score for this category (50 possible points)	Total for category = _____				

Speaker Style and Readiness:

Clarity of voice	1	2	3	4	5
Pace of presentation (not too slow or fast)	1	2	3	4	5
Appropriate (not too casual or overly formal)	1	2	3	4	5
Mannerisms (eye contact, did not talk to slides, did not excessively use hands)	1	2	3	4	5
Readiness of speaker (smooth transitions, no lengthy pauses, reliance on notes)	1	2	3	4	5
Total score for this category (25 possible points)	Total for category = _____				

Slides

Organization (ideas flow in a logical manner)	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity of visuals (font appropriate size; easy to read)	1	2	3	4	5
Graphs and figures (easy to interpret – can stand alone)	1	2	3	4	5
Photos & graphics (contribute to subject and clarity, no distracting animation)	1	2	3	4	5
Allocation of time (not too much time on methods/ intro vs. results/discussion)	1	2	3	4	5
Total score for this category (25 possible points)	Total for category = _____				

TOTAL POINTS FOR ALL CATEGORIES (100 points possible):

Comments: