

# Student Poster Presentations - Electronic

## Judge's Score Sheet and Evaluation

AFS San Antonio 2025

Title:

STUDENT  
NAME:

### **Subject Matter and Scientific Merit**

1 = Poor

3 = Satisfactory

5 = Excellent

Title descriptive

Score =

Introduction/background (brief but sets context)

Score =

Objective clearly stated

Score =

Methods/approach (adequate to address objective's; appropriate detail for poster)

Score =

Results adequately summarized (tied back to project objectives)

Score =

Conclusions (take home message)

Score =

Originality (novel methods, new approach)

Score =

Scientific/ Management Merit (valuable results and convincing interpretation)

Score =

### **Poster Style, Organization and Visuals**

Organization & Flow (logical layout & can follow easily from one location to the next in proper order)

Score =

Legibility (adequate font size of all text, overall poster is neat and easy to read)

Score =

Visual appeal (attractive and balanced)

Score =

Quality of visuals (e.g., figure axes or table columns clearly labeled, all visuals are easy to read, credit is given where due)

Score =

Visual communication (Do the visuals communicate important information in a quick and effective manner?)

Score =

Efficient and effective use of space

Score =

Clarity of message (easy to understand, short and direct statements)

Score =

Authors and contributors (study/sample location and funding sources easy to identify)

Score =

### **Oral Presentation of Poster (target 2 minutes)**

Relevance of spoken material to the poster (Oral presentation complements the poster, emphasizes message without being rote)

Score =

Depth of material (appropriate amount of information in the time given, used time effectively and kept close to time)

Score =

Message effectiveness (clear message, overall impact)

Score =

Speaker quality (video on, appropriate mannerisms)

Score =

**TOTAL POINTS FOR ALL CATEGORIES** (100 points possible):

0

**Comments:**